



#### Scientific Writing for Publication

#### Suthat Fucharoen M.D.

Thalassemia Research Center, Institute of Molecular Biosciences Mahidol University, Salaya, Nakornpathom, Thailand

(suthat.fuc@mahidol.ac.th)



#### Criteria for University Ranking

- จำนวนผลงานที่ตีพิมพ์โดยวารสารที่อยู่ในฐานข้อมูลสากล
  จำนวนผลงานที่ได้รับการตีพิมพ์ในวารสาร Nature และ Science
  อันเป็นกลุ่มวารสารทางวิทยาศาสตร์ที่จัดได้ว่ามีความ สำคัญ สูงสุด
  จำนวนนักวิจัยที่เป็นเจ้าของผลงานที่ได้รับการอ้างอิงสูงใน สาขาวิชาของตน
- ผลงานที่ได้ต่อจำนวนอาจารย์ที่ทำงานเต็มเวลา
- จำนวนผู้ที่ได้รับรางวัลโนเบลที่ประจำทำงานอยู่

## Why should we publish? (1)

(1) การเขียนบทความวิจัยเผยแพร่เป็นการแสดงความรับ ผิดชอบต่อสังคม

(2) เป็นกิจกรรมที่สร้างความคึกคักให้แก่ประชาคมวิจัย เกิดการแลกเปลี่ยนความรู้เกิดถกเถียงโต้แย้ง นำไปสู่ ความงอกงามทางปัญญา (3) เป็นกิจกรรมที่นำไปสู่มิตรภาพ ทำให้เราได้เพื่อนที่เป็น นั้กวิจัยในสาขาเดียวกันที่อ่านบทความของเรา และเขียน จดหมายมาขอรีพริ้นท์ หรืออี-เมล์มาแลกเปลี่ยนความเห็น อาจนำไปสู่ความเป็นมิตรที่ใกล้ชิดยิ่งขึ้นคือร่วมมือกันทำวิจัย Why should we publish? (2)

(4) เป็นการเรียนรู้ในรูปแบบหนึ่ง เป็นการฝึกฝนตนเองทั้ง ในด้านสมาธิ ให้มีใจจดจ่ออยู่กับเรื่องเดียวในระยะเวลาหนึ่ง ฬกพลังสมองเละทำให้ไม่ล้าหลังทางวิชาการ (5) เป็นการสร้างความยอมรับและชื่อเสียงในประชาคมวิจัย (6) เป็นการฝึกควบคุมอารมณ์ โดยเฉพาะเมื่อโดน **เฒฺณฑ** ตำหนิมาแรง ๆ (7) เป็นการฝึกภาษา ถ้อยคำการทำรูปภาพ ทำตาราง เขียนคำอธิบาย เป็นต<sup>้</sup>น

## Why should we publish? (3)

(8) คนที่เคยเขียนบทความวิจัย <u>จะเรียนรู้จากการอ่าน</u> บทความวิจัยของผู้อื่นได้ดีขึ้น มากขึ้นและลึกซึ้งขึ้น ้สามารถอ่านหรือมองเห็นได้หลายมิติ ในลักษณะที่เห็นสิ่ง ที่ซ่อนหรือแฝงอยู่โระหว่างบรรทัด"หรือ โระหว่างหน้า" ของวารสาร เกิดความเข้าใจชุมชนวิจัยในสาขา หรือ ประเด็นนั้น เกิดความเข้าในสถาบันวิจัย วัฒนธรรมวิจัย ประเด็นวิจัยที่เป็นยอดฮิตแห่งยุคสมัย ประเด็นที่เป็นข้อถกเถียงโต้แย้ง รวมความว่าใน การเขียน บทความ วิจัยออกเผยแพร่ผู้เขียนได้รับประโยชน์มากกว่า การมีผลงานตีพิมพ์หลายเท่า ในลักษณะของ "ประโยชน์ที่จับต้องไม่ได้"

## Publish or Perish

'Publishing is the chief currency in this universe, the main source of validation of one's research, and often the key indicator of academic success. Promotion and tenure committees value peerreviewed publications above all;... that is, regrettably, even above clinical performance or community service.'

Open access anxiety in the publish or perish world blogged by Jacalyn Clark

#### **Background:** Author's Perspective

Motivation to publish:

- Dissemination (54% 1st choice)
- Career prospects (20% 1st choice)
- Improved funding (13% 1st choice)
- Ego (9% 1st choice)
- Patent protection (4% 1st choice)
- Other (5% 1st choice)

Bryan Coles (ed.) The STM Information System in the UK, BL Report 6123, Royal Society, BL, ALPSP, 1993

#### Author versus Reader Behavior

- Author behavior
  - Want to publish more
  - Peer review essential
  - Other journal functions crucial
  - Wider dissemination

- Reader behavior
  - Want integrated system
  - Browsing is crucial
  - Quality information important
  - Want to read less

Elsevier study of 36,000 authors (1999-2002) presented by Michael Mabe at ALPSP Seminar on "Learning from users" 2003; www.alpsp.org

## Good Journal

วารสารที่มีคุณภาพ

- 1. เป็นวารสารของส่วนกลาง Nature, Science
- 2. มีเนื้อหาสาระที่ดี
- 3. มีการออกตามกำหนดที่แน่นอน
- 4. มีรายละเอียดด้านบรรณานุกรม (bibliographic details)
- 5. มีผู้อ้างอิงบทความจำนวนมาก (Impact Factor)
- 6. ได้รับการครอบคลุมโดยวารสารทุติยภูมิ (Abstracts, Indexes)

## How to Write and Publish Papers in the Medical Sciences

Edward J. Huth M.D. Editor, Annals of Internal Medicine

ISI Press Philadelphia

Blackwell Science - Best Practice Guidelines on Publishing Ethics <u>http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/Publicationethics/</u>

http://www.icmje.org/

WRITING IS HARD WORK Why write a paper if it is not going to get published?

The probability that a paper with a clear message will emerge from research is determined more by how the research was conceived and planned than by how well the paper is written.

#### Part I: Publication & Peer Review

#### **Deciding to Publish and Submitting Your Paper**

Is the paper worth writing?

- What to publish? What do I have to say? abstract vs. full report
- Choosing your forum
  - Which type of journal is best for you?
  - What audience are you targeting?
- Research the journal
  - **Publication guidelines**
  - Article style

## What do I have to say?

- 1. Case Report
  - case is unique and so uncommon
  - it enlarges our concept of disease and our skill in practice
- 2. Research Paper
  - Study properly designed to answer a specific question
  - You know what you have to say
  - Trying to make a POINT
  - Not only to report your experience
- 3. Review Article
  - What questions such a review might answer

## Is the Paper Worth Writing?

- 1. Message is new
- 2. Expands on or previous published message firm up

Decision to write a paper may depend in part on what you find in a search of the literature.

#### What makes a good research paper?

- Good science/Arts & Humanities/ Business & management/ Education
- Good writing
- Publication in good journals

#### What constitutes good RESEARCH?

<u>Novel</u> – new and not resembling something formerly known or used (can be novel but not important)

<u>Mechanistic</u> – testing a hypothesis - determining the fundamental processes involved in or responsible for an action, reaction, or other natural phenomenon

<u>Descriptive</u> – describes how things are but does not test how things work – hypotheses are not tested.

## What is the Right Format?

- 1. Formal report
- 2. Choose the short format:
- concise report, letter to editor, brief report, clinical notes, short communications

## What is the Audience?

- Do not confuse probable audience vs audience you feel "needs" the paper
- 2. What effect will the message have
- 3. How will it change concept or practice?

So what Who cares? Who will care?

## What is the Right Journal?

- 1. Is the topic of my proposed paper within the scope of the journal?
- 2. Is the topic represented in the journal frequently or only rarely?
- 3. Would the journal offer the best match of audience and topic?
- 4. What formats are acceptable to the journal?
- 5. Does the journal publish an Information-for-Authors page?

#### What constitutes a good journal?

#### Impact factor -

average number of times published papers are cited up to two years after publication.

Immediacy Index –

average number of times published papers are cited during year of publication.

#### Cited half-life –

reflects ongoing use of a particular journal

High-prestige journals also have high rejection rate

## Journal Citation 2013/14

Journal	Impact Factor
NEJM	54.42
Lancet	39.21
Science	33.61
Nature	27.36

http://www.isinet.com http://stang.li.mahidol.ac.th/text/IF.htm

## Things to consider before writing

- 1. Time to write the paper
  - has a significant advancement been made?
  - is the hypothesis straightforward?
  - did the experiments test the hypothesis?
  - are the controls appropriate and sufficient?
- 2. Tables and figures
  - must be clear and concise
  - should be self-explanatory
- 3. Read references
  - will help in choosing journal
  - better insight into possible reviewers

#### Things to consider before writing

4. Choose journal

- study "instructions to authors"
- think about possible reviewers
- quality of journal "impact factor"
- 5. Tentative title and summary
- 6. Choose authors

#### TITLE

- 1.The fewest possible words that adequately indicate the content of the paper (concise, specific, and informative)
- 2. Do not use abbreviation and jargon
- 3. Should not include waste words (studies on, investigation on, a, an, etc)
- 4. The title should never contain abbreviation, acronym, mnemonic or jargon)

# <u>Authorship</u>

#### An author should:

- have generated a part of intellectual content
- collected reported data
- taken part in writing the paper
- be able to defend publicly intellectual content of the paper
- Remember, authorship is not charity

## **Multiple Publication**

From the ethical point of view, Multiple Publication, not justified by differing messages or differing audiences is a menace to the scientific community. Publication is costly, science can not afford to waste resources. (Edward Huth; Editor, Annals of Internal Medicine)

"Salami Science"

### Part II: Writing a Scientific/ Research Manuscript



## Writing Style and Audience

#### Checklist:

- Void of anecdotes or stories
- Reports facts not outlandish conclusions
- No misspellings
- Grammatical accuracy
- Meets formatting guidelines
- Avoids using the first person

#### Who's the audience?

- Write for your target audience

#### Paper Organization

Abstract: short summary of the study including background information and results **Introduction:** nature and scope of the study, review of previous study, method used for study, principle results Materials and Methods: detailed of subjects and experimental procedure **Results:** overall description of the experiment, data presented for the study **Discussion/Conclusion:** evaluation of your data and interpretation of the results **Acknowledgement: References: Figures and Tables:** Where Do We Begin?

> The More I Think The More Confused I Get

# Abstract

- Why this work was done
- How it was done
- What was found (with statistical evidence)
- What does it mean or why is it important?
- No reference, graph, figure and table
- Define abbreviations at first use, unless considered standard for that journal
- Together, the title and the abstract should stand on their own
- Many authors write the abstract last so that it accurately reflects the content of the paper
- Not to exceed 300 words

#### Abstract

#### **Common Mistakes**

- Too much background or methods information
- Figures or images
- References to other literature, figures or images
- Abbreviations or acronyms

The Structured Abstract: An Essential Tool for Research http://research.mlanet.org/structured\_abstract.html

## Introduction

Good introduction include:

- Present scope and nature of the study
- Review the field of study and previous report
- States the method used
- Indicates principle results
- Principle conclusion suggested by the results

(do not include any diagram and equation)

### Introduction

**Broad information on topic** - Previous research Narrower background information - Need for study Focus of paper - Hypothesis Summary of problem (selling point) Overall 300-500 words

### Introduction

- Clearly state the:
  - Problem being investigated
  - Background that explains the problem
  - Reasons for conducting the research
- Summarize relevant research to provide context
- State how your work differs from published work
- Identify the questions you are answering
- Explain what other findings, if any, you are challenging or extending
- Briefly describe the experiment, hypothesis(es), research question(s); general experimental design or method

#### Methods and Materials

Provides instruction on exactly how to repeat experiment

- Subjects
- Sample preparation techniques
- Sample origins
- Field site description
- Data collection protocol
- Data analysis techniques
- Any computer programs used
- Description of equipment and its use
# <u>Methods</u>

- Provide the reader enough details so they can understand and replicate your research
- Explain how you studied the problem, identify the procedures you followed, and order these chronologically where possible
- Explain new methodology in detail; otherwise name the method and cite the previously published work
- Include the frequency of observations, what types of data were recorded, etc.
- Be precise in describing measurements and include errors of measurement or research design limits

## Results

Objective presentation of experiment results

- Summary of data

NOT a Discussion!

#### **Common mistakes**

- Raw data
- Redundancy
- Discussion and interpretation of data
- No figures or tables
- Methods/materials reported

# <u>Results</u>

- Objectively present your findings, and explain what was found
- Show that your new results are contributing to the body of scientific knowledge
- Follow a logical sequence based on the tables and figures presenting the findings to answer the question or hypothesis
- Figures should have a brief description (a legend), providing the reader sufficient information to know how the data were produced

# Common Weaknesses in Results

- Inappropriate or incomplete statistics
- Omission of data
- Inconsistent or inaccurate data
- Unclear tables or figures

Graphs

Graphs should include:

- Title

- Axis titles with units

- Adequate tick marks
- Legend
- Description and figure number

#### **Figures and Tables**

#### Tables

- Presents lists of numbers/ text in columns

#### **Figures**

- Visual representation of results or illustration of concepts/methods (graphs, images, diagrams, etc.)

# FIGURES & TABLES

- Give title to each figure or table
- Each figure and table must be self explanatory
- Should not have many symbols

# Discussion

#### Interpret results

- Did the study confirm/deny the hypothesis?
- If not, did the results provide an alternative hypothesis?
- What interpretation can be made?
- Do results agree with other research? Sources of error/anomalous data?
- Implications how the research has moved the body of scientific knowledge forward
- Do not extend your conclusions beyond what is directly supported by your results avoid undue speculation
- Suggestions for improvement and future research

Relate to previous research!

# Discussion

**Common Mistakes** 

- Combined with Results
- New results discussed
- Broad statements
- Incorrectly discussing inconclusive results
- Ambiguous data sources
- Missing information

# **Conclusion**

- What is its message?
- Can I put the message into one sentence? Who will pay attention to that message?
- Test its importance with "So-What". If it passes the "So-What" test, ask "important to whom".

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- Source of research funding (this is a MUST)
- Colleagues, nurse, technician
- Should not be viewed as a "catch-all" for anyone you wish to flatter or do not wish to offend



Guidelines for producing a useful reference list :

- Restrict the list to those references with a direct bearing on the work described
- Cite only references to journals listed in Index Medicus/ISI/Scorpus
- Check the house style on whether the Vancouver or Harvard system is used
- Check the "Instructions to authors" to make sure that you have included all the necessary details of each reference

# Summaries/Examples of Styles

 International Committee of Medical Journal Editors Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Sample References

http://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/uniform\_requirements.html

- How to Cite References/Vancouver Style, Murdoch University, Australia http://wwwlib.murdoch.edu.au/find/citation/vancouver.html
- Blackwell Publishing Online/References
   <u>http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/authors/reference\_text.asp</u>
- BMA Reference Styles
   <u>http://www.bma.org.uk/ap.nsf/Content/LIBReferenceStyles</u>

The sections appear in a journal style paper in the following prescribed order:

Experimental proces s	Section of Paper
What did I do in a nutshell?	Abstract
What is the problem?	Introduction
How did I solve the problem?	Materials and Methods
What did I find out?	Results
What does it mean?	Discussion
Who helped me out?	Acknowledgments (optional)
Whose work did I refer to?	Literature Cited
Extra Information	Appendices (optional)

# Components of a Paper

Section	Purpose
Title	Clearly describes contents
Authors	Ensures recognition for the writer(s)
Abstract	Describes what was done
Key Words (some journals)	Ensures the article is correctly identified
	in abstracting and indexing services
Introduction	Explains the problem
Methods	Explains how the data were collected
Results	Describes what was discovered
Discussion	Discusses the implications of the findings
Acknowledgements	Ensures those who helped in the research
	are recognised
References	Ensures previously published work is
	recognised
Appendices (some journals)	Provides supplemental data for the expert
	reader

# Publishing "PEARLS"

- Counsel
  - seek out expert counsel: "unofficial review"
  - intra-institutional, i.e. your center or neighboring center
  - friends, colleagues, national experts
    - request less formal feedback to ease "burden"
  - "Partner": do not be afraid to add authors who help

1.For maximum readability, most sentence should be about 15-20 words, but not more than 30 words. For scientific article, paragraphs of about 150 words in length are considered optimal.

- 2. Avoid complex sentence structure
- containing more than 3 connectives.
- 3. Use simple and clean English.

# <u>เรื่องภาษา</u>

หลีกเลี่ยงการใช้คำซ้ำ

Use → Employ, Utilize Show → Demonstrate, Illustrate, Display (ใช้ Thesaurus)

ประโยคไม่ควรยาวหรือสั้นเกินไป (ไม่เกิน 20 คำต่อประโยค)

• <u>Sample 1</u>

A 62-year-old man was admitted to this hospital because of paresthesia, weight loss, jaundice, and anemia. Diagnostic test results were received. (18 words, 5 words, respectively)

• <u>Sample 2</u>

In this study of treatments for recent-onset type 2 diabetes, metformin monotherapy was associated with durable glycemic control in about 50% of patients. (24 words)



<u>Use past tense to describe:</u>

- procedures, observations and data of your work.
- <u>Use present tense for:</u>

general conclusions, conclusions of previous researchers, and generally accepted facts. Thus, most of the Abstracts, Materials and Methods and Results will be in the past tense, and most of the Introduction and some of the Discussion will be in the present tense.

#### Sample 1

"Kochakarn and Lertsithichai (8) demonstrated that unilateral transurethral incision of the bladder neck is an effective treatment for primary bladder neck obstruction.

"

On the other hand, this study showed that unilateral transurethral incision of the bladder neck was ineffective for such treatment (Table 2)."

#### Sample 2

"Ratana-Olarn et al (5) have shown that early treatment of neurogenic bladder using CIC in children born with myelomeningocele yields better results than late treatment."

"But in this study early or late treatment of neurogenic bladder using CIC in children born with such condition produced the same result (Table 4)."

#### Sample 3

"The values for different concentrations of drug on the number of ABC cells are statistically different, indicating that the drug inhibited their growth."

#### Space between number and unit

- Temperatures need spaces
  - between value and degree sign: 37 °C, not 37°C or 37°C
  - but the degree sign for angles goes with the number: 90° angle
- Centrifugal forces need spaces
  - on both sides of the "x"
  - 10,000 x g, not 10,000g or 10,000xg
- Other "places for spaces"
  - around equals sign: n = 3, not n=3
    - also around >, <, ~, etc
  - around plus/minus: 29  $\pm$  7, not 29 $\pm$ 7
- Percentages may be the only exception
  - 5% serum, 0.01% bromophenol blue
  - This is because % is not really a unit, just an indication that the value is presented as the "ratio to 100"

#### **Appendix: Plurals**

#### Examples of irregular plural nouns deriving from Latin or Greek

Singular	Plural	Examples
-a	- <i>ae</i> rarely - <i>ata</i>	alga – algae, larva – larvae stoma – stomata
-ex	-ices	index – indices, apex – apices
-ies	-ies	species, series, facies
-is	-es	axis – axes, hypothesis – hypotheses
-ix	-ices	appendix – appendices, matrix – matrices
-011	-a	phenomenon – phenomena, criterion – criteria
-11M	-a	datum – data, bacterium – bacteria
-115	-i rarely -uses or -era	locus – loci, fungus – fungi (or funguses) sinus – sinuses genus – genera

It must be remembered that some nouns used in everyday English also have irregular plural forms (e.g. woman – women, foot – feet, tooth – teeth, mouse – mice, leaf – leaves, life – lives, tomato – tomatoes) or have no plural form (e.g. equipment, information, news). For more examples, see CSE (2006). If in doubt, consult a dictionary. <u>การเปรียบเทียบหัวข้อ</u>

Whatever you do, keep the positives together and the negatives together

#### Disorganized:

 Subjects with myocardial infarction were less likely to be married, older, drank less alcohol, were better educated, and were more likely to smoke.

#### **Clearer:**

• Subjects with myocardial infarction were older, better educated, and more likely to smoke. They were less likely to be married or to drink alcohol.

# <u>อย่า Stake Claims</u>

Do not brag about being the first, the best, the biggest, or the most rigorous.

Bragging:

 This phenomenon has never been previously reported in the English-language literature that we reviewed since 1980 using the keywords angina and penicillin.

Factual:

• Treatment of pharyngitis with penicillin V reduced symptoms of angina in our subjects.

# การเขียนตัวเลข และ Formula

Most journals will not allow you to begin a sentence with an Arabic number.

#### **Unacceptable:**

• 116 subjects were enrolled. 23 patients died during the study.

#### **Acceptable:**

• We enrolled 116 subjects. During 2 years of follow-up, 23 patients died.

# <u>ระวังคำย่อ</u>

#### Change:

• The effects of NSAIDs on PG synthesis in the GI tract are mediated through the COX system.

#### To:

 The effects of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs on prostaglandin synthesis in the gastrointestinal tract are mediated through the cyclooxygenase (COX) system.

### **Clear writing**

Keep it simple: use short, familiar words

Avoid jargon and acronyms : ARITHMETIC

Be specific, not vague

Say what you mean and mean what you say

Avoid using a long sentence having more than 3 connectives, because it can be confusing and difficult to comprehend.

A sentence should not be longer than 20 – 30 words.

### Plagiarism:

the act of presenting another's work or ideas as your own.

# Paraphrase!!!



# After Submission

- Most journal editors will make an initial decision on a paper - to review or to reject
- Most editors appoint two referees
- Refereeing speed varies tremendously between journals
- Authors should receive a decision of Accept, Accept with Revision (Minor or Major), or Reject
- If a paper is rejected, most editors will write to you explaining their decision
- After rejection, authors have the option of submitting the paper to another journal - editor's suggestions should be addressed

#### **Overview of Peer Review Process**



# BEST OF LUCK WITH YOUR SUBMISSIONS

THANK HOU FOR SUBMITTING "DEAR CONTRIBUTOR YOUR STORY TO OUR MAGAZINE" ... ONE FOR THIS STORY AND ONE FOR THE NEXT STORY YOU SEND US! " TO SAVE TIME, WE ARE ENCLOSING TWO REJECTION SLIPS\_"



Novice researcher

"You should have come sooner."
#### Writing manuscript เป็นเรื่องหนักหนาสาหัส จงยืนหยัดสู้ไปด้วยใจกล้า ขอกุศลผลบุญช่วยชักพา ให้ปีนี้ปีหน้าเขียนเก่งเอย



อำนวย ถิฐาพันธ์



This certificate is hereby presented to *Amnuay Thithapandha*, Ph.D., who has been trained in academic scientific writing by our staff and has met the high standard of our journal.

Given on 20<sup>th</sup> July 2013 in Boston, Mass., USA.

Jeffrey M. Drazen Editor-in-chief, NEJM



Jane suddenly realised that her reference list had too many self citations...



#### No work is finished till the paper work is done.





#### Have a good time

**Prapon Wilairat** 

### The Recognized Problem

"There is no form of prose more difficult to understand and more tedious to read than the average scientific paper!"



**Francis Crick** 

# Key Difficulties

- Many papers are poorly constructed and written
  - Often, scientists have not learned good manuscript writing techniques
  - Many do not enjoy writing, and do not take the time or effort to ensure that the prose is clear and logical
  - Peer review before submission is not made, but this is critical



Geoffrey Burnstock The most highly cited scientist of the last decade as surveyed by ISI Poor experimentation cannot be masked by brilliant writing; however, poor writing can mask brilliant experimentation.



Bernard Brodie Laboratory of Chemical Pharmacology National Heart Institute, NIH **Bernard B. Brodie** 



## Further Resources

- Davis, Martha (2005) "Scientific Papers and Presentations", 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Academic Press (ISBN 0-12-088424-0)
- Grossman, Michael (2004) "Writing and Presenting Scientific Papers", 2nd edition, Nottingham University Press, (ISBN 1-897676-12-3).
- Clare, J & Hamilton, H (2003) "Writing research transforming Data into Text", Churchill Livingstone (ISBN 0443071829).
- HINARI Publishing Skills Web-bibliography 11 2007
- Essential Health Links/Publishing Skills http://www.healthnet.org/essential-links/publishingskills.html